

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/649,107	08/26/2003	In-Su Joo	1190860-991200	5485	
26379	7590 12/09/2004		EXAM	INER	
GRAY CARY WARE & FREIDENRICH LLP 2000 UNIVERSITY AVENUE			PRENTY,	PRENTY, MARK V	
	TO, CA 94303-2248		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•		2822		

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ĺ
Advisory Action	10/649,107	JOO ET AL.	_
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MARK V PRENTY	2822	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a specific property in a timely filed amendment which all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper re-	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI 			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) M they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	tion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •	,	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:	•		
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		Mark V. Prent Primary Examin	y /

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/649,107

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendments to claims 1-20 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search, particularly the proposed changing of "slope" to "nonzero angle" in independent claims 1, 8, 12 and 16. The proposed amendments to the specification raise the issue of new matter, particularly the proposed replacement paragraph for the paragraph starting at page 8, line 8. The applicant's remarks: "The specification and Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-17, 19, and 20 are amended to correct obvious grammatical and typographical errors. No substantive change has been made either to the specification or to the claims," are incorrect. The proposed changing of "slope" to "nonzero angle" in independent claims 1, 8, 12 and 16 is not correcting an obvious grammatical or typographical error and is a substantive change to the scope of claims 1-20. The proposed replacement paragraph for the paragraph starting at pg. 8, line 8, is not correcting obvious grammatical or typographical errors and raises the issue of new matter.